

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMES B.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-00350-JCM-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 14]

Pending before the Court is an unopposed motion to extend time for Plaintiff to file his opening brief. Docket No. 14. Plaintiff seeks a lengthy 60-day extension premised on an assertion that counsel's staff needs more time given competing work obligations. *See id.* at 1 (noting that in the next two months, "staff in Counsel's office have two hundred and two (202) Plaintiff briefs due"). Such an assertion lacks important detail, including which attorney is handling this matter and why *that attorney* cannot meet the current deadline. Moreover, that an attorney has overloaded himself is not good cause for an extension, *see, e.g., Olesczuk v. Citizens One Home Loans*, 2016 U.S. Dist. Lexis 153342, at *6 n.3 (D. Nev. Nov. 4, 2016) (citing *Greene v. Alhambra Hosp. Med. Ctr.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. Lexis 72697, at *3 (D. Nev. June 3, 2015)), which the Court has explained to the Commissioner's attorneys when they have asked for extensions based on the same reasoning, *see, e.g., Fenwick v. Kijakazi*, No. 2:22-cv-01077-NJK, *Burright v. Kijakazi*, No. 2:21-cv-01288-NJK, Docket No. 25 (D. Nev. Mar. 22, 2022); *Ramirez v. Saul*, Case No. 2:19-cv-00978-NJK, Docket No. 21 (D. Nev. Oct. 16, 2019); *Gonzalez v. Berryhill*, Case No. 2:18-cv-02199-APG-NJK, Docket No. 16 (D. Nev. Apr. 16, 2019); *Betten v. Berryhill*, Case No. 2:18-cv-00536-KJD-NJK, Docket No. 24 (D. Nev. Dec. 06, 2018). In short, good cause has not been shown for the extension requested.

1 As a one-time courtesy to counsel, the Court will allow a 21-day extension, but the
2 unopposed motion to extend is otherwise **DENIED**. The deadline to file the opening brief is June
3 26, 2023.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 1, 2023

6
7 
8 _____
9 Nancy J. Koppe
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28